

VEDDER PRICE

VEDDER, PRICE, KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C.
 222 NORTH LASALLE STREET
 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601
 312-609-7500
 FACSIMILE: 312-609-5005

RECEIVED
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 30 2006

Facsimile

OFFICES IN CHICAGO, NEW YORK CITY AND ROSELAND, NEW JERSEY

If you have any problems with this transmittal,
 please call 312 609-5001.
 Our Fax Number is 312-609-5005.

Fax Operator:

Confidentiality Note
 This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone at 312-609-5001, and return this original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

Please deliver the following page(s) to:**Page 1**

Name: Commissioner for Patents
 United States Patent and Trademark
 Office
 Examiner: Suzanne Dino Barrett
 Art Unit: 3676

From: Robert S. Beiser

Date: June 30, 2006

Client No.: 35243.00.0003

Fax No.: 571-273-8300

Confirmation No.: 571-272-7053

Sender's Ext.: 312 609 7848

Total Pages:
Time Received by Fax
Dept.:

Special instructions to receiving operator:

Message:
 In Re: S.N. 10/820,241, filed 04/06/2004

Robert S. Beiser
 Reg. No. 28,687

06 JUN 30 PM 3:41

CHICAGO#1405065.1

PAGE 1/3 * RCVD AT 6/30/2006 4:57:32 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/31 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:3126095005 * DURATION (mm:ss):00:44

JUN 30 2006

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	Miao, Tony	Examiner:	Barrett, Suzanne L. D.
Serial No.	10/820,241	Art Unit:	3676
Filing Date:	04/06/2004	Atty. Docket No.:	81264.00.9010
Confirmation No.:	7468		

Title: LOCK WITH LINEAR MOVEMENT HOOK RESULTING FROM ROTATABL E MOVEMENT OF A CONTROL KNOB

Examiner Suzanne Lale Dino Barrett
 Art Unit 3676
 Commissioner for Patents
 P. O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Letter to the Examiner

We responded to the Official Action of April 4, 2006 today under EFS ID No. 1099902.

After filing the response, we noted a typographical error on page 21. The Electronic Business Center Help instructed us to fax the enclosed replacement page directly to you.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 6/30/2006 By: Robert S. Beiser
 Robert S. Beiser
 Registration No. 28,687

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kamnholz, P.C.
 222 N. LaSalle Street
 Chicago, Illinois 60601
 Phone: (312) 609-7848
 Fax: (312) 609-5005

which parts of the lock itself may be connected, but a casing which may engage two objects with one another that are not part of the lock.

Applicant further respectfully submits that neither Roop nor Martin teaches a hooking engagement mechanism securely mounted on the control knob assembly to secure the control knob assembly to the casing, as claimed. Roop teaches that a cylinder actuator on one side of a cam support cage connects to lugs that are within the cam member on the other side by simply "contacting" them (col. 3, lines 37-38). Applicant claims and describes a distinctly secure mounting of a hooking engagement mechanism on a control knob assembly, utilizing paired bushings and grooves, specialized receiving portions on the control knob for particular portions of the hooking engagement mechanism, etc. (paragraphs [0027] – [0031]). Furthermore, Martin does not teach a hooking engagement mechanism at all, but rather two bolts that may extend straight out from a bolt housing portion toward a strike (col. 3, lines 1-10).

With respect to the claim limitation that the hooking engagement mechanism has "a follower member with a follower member aperture defined to receive therein the neck" (i.e., the neck of a control knob assembly), Applicant respectfully notes that the cylinder actuator is the equivalent of the neck in Roop and that it is received in the aperture in the cam member on its way to contacting the lugs. This cam member is not a follower member; in Roop, the locking bolt member (item 58) is the follower member contains the bolts just as Applicant's follower member contains the hook (paragraph [0028], lines 1-2). As to Martin, Applicant respectfully reasserts that no hooking mechanism is taught.

Finally, regarding the claim limitation that the hooking engagement mechanism "has a control plate firmly connected to the neck of the control knob assembly and having a cam formed to abut an inner face defining the follower member aperture so that rotatable movement of the